ATTACHMENT 62

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
      IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL )
      ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) Case No.:
 5
                               ____ ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
6
      ALL CASES
 7
      SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
8
     COMPANY, INC.,
                 Plaintiff,
10
            vs.
11 |
      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
12
                 Defendant.
13
14
15
                           DEPOSITION OF:
16
                             JOHN WAGNER
17
                      TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2022
                   9:05 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time
18
19
20
     REPORTED BY:
21
     Vickie Blair
22
     CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR
      JOB NO. 5506653
2.3
24
25
     PAGES 1 - 153
                                                Page 1
```

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 3 of 17

1	financial officer.	09:56:47
2	Q Before it goes to the CFO, does it need	09:56:47
3	your approval?	09:56:55
4	A Myself and our other operational	09:56:57
5	leadership will create a budget we think is presentable	09:57:02
6	to the CFO, and the CFO will approve or review, I	09:57:10
7	should say, and hopefully, hopefully approve.	09:57:16
8	Q The da Vinci robot, would that be subject	09:57:27
9	or run through the same overall process that you just	09:57:40
10	described?	09:57:43
11	A Yes.	09:57:45
12	Q Prior to the two Xi robots, the hospital	09:57:45
13	had an older generation robot; is that right?	09:58:03
14	A Yes.	09:58:08
15	Q That was an Si machine?	09:58:09
16	A Correct.	09:58:11
17	Q And the hospital acquired that before	09:58:11
18	you you joined the hospital; is that right?	09:58:13
19	A That's right.	09:58:18
20	Q Is the Si machine still at Valley?	09:58:18
21	A No.	09:58:24
22	Q And what happened to it?	09:58:24
23	A I believe it was traded in.	09:58:25
24	Q So at Valley now there's the two Xi robots	09:58:28
25	we've discussed; is that right?	09:58:43
	Pa	ge 43

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 4 of 17

1	A Valley has two Xi robots, correct.	09:58:44
2	Q So let's let's talk about the first Xi	09:58:47
3	robot. I believe earlier we we agreed that was	09:58:51
4	acquired in 2018; is that right?	09:58:54
5	A I believe so, yes.	09:58:58
6	Q Okay. So, Mr. Wagner, as I said earlier,	09:58:59
7	I appreciate this this was a while ago, but, to the	09:59:02
8	best of your recollection, who would have first	09:59:13
9	proposed purchasing an Xi robot?	09:59:14
10	A Who would have first proposed?	09:59:22
11	Q That's right.	09:59:23
12	A I don't know that there was any one event,	09:59:24
13	it was an ongoing discussion when I started about	09:59:32
14	purchasing an Xi robot.	09:59:36
15	Q And what was the nature of that	09:59:40
16	discussion?	09:59:42
17	A The nature of that discussion primarily	09:59:42
18	was with surgeons, they were under the under we	09:59:47
19	had an understanding that the Si robot was nearing end	09:59:56
20	of life, reported to us that it was one of the oldest	09:59:58
21	in the state, in fact, I seem to recall that they had	10:00:05
22	shared with us they, meaning da Vinci, that it was	10:00:07
23	one of the oldest on the West Coast, and there was a	10:00:10
24	need and a desire from surgeons to want to use the Xi	10:00:13
25	robot, and so we had ongoing discussions about when	10:00:18
	Pa	ge 44

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 5 of 17

1	This quote will have a \$250,000 Si	11:52:14
2	trade value lock for 12 months.	11:52:18
3	Do you see that?	11:52:21
4	A Yes.	11:52:21
5	Q So, consistent with what you said earlier,	11:52:21
6	Mr. Wagner, does that mean that if Valley went to trade	11:52:26
7	in its Si within the next 12 months, they would receive	11:52:30
8	a \$250,000 discount?	11:52:34
9	A I believe that's what this states.	11:52:37
10	Q All right. If you look at page five of	11:52:39
11	the PDF, so near the top, the digits in the bottom will	11:53:03
12	be 15997	11:53:13
13	A Okay.	11:53:13
14	Q this email was attached or included in	11:53:18
15	the first email we looked at, it's from, again, John	11:53:20
16	Tull to Lindy and Jodi dated August 20th, he writes (as	11:53:23
17	read):	11:53:23
18	Per our discussion Friday morning,	11:53:28
19	attached is the SLSA draft for Valley's	11:53:30
20	review and processing.	11:53:32
21	Do you understand what the SLSA was?	11:53:36
22	A No.	11:53:42
23	Q Mr. Tull writes (as read):	11:53:43
24	As a reminder, a fully executed SLSA	11:53:53
25	is required to acquire a da Vinci system.	11:53:56
	Page	e 107

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 6 of 17

1		I can represent to you, Mr. Wagner, that	11:53:58
2	SLSA stands	for sales license and service agreement.	11:54:03
3		Does that refresh your recollection about	11:54:06
4	what this re	efers to?	11:54:07
5	А	Yes.	11:54:11
6	Q	Would reviewing the SLSA contract, is that	11:54:13
7	something th	hat you would have done?	11:54:20
8	А	I don't recall doing that for this,	11:54:25
9	usually tha	t's something that I wouldn't.	11:54:28
10	Q	That you would not, did I catch that	11:54:33
11	right?		11:54:35
12	А	I would not, yes.	11:54:35
13	Q	Who would typically have responsibility	11:54:36
14	for reviewing	ng a contract like this?	11:54:38
15	А	Well, all contracts are reviewed by our	11:54:46
16	legal team.		11:54:48
17	Q	Besides legal, would anyone else have	11:54:48
18	reviewed the	e contract?	11:54:51
19	А	Our supply chain team.	11:54:52
20	Q	So, in this in this case, John Tull	11:55:00
21	from Intuit	ive is providing a draft of the sales	11:55:04
22	agreement to	o Valley to review before execution; is that	11:55:06
23	right?		11:55:17
24	А	I think that's fair, yes.	11:55:17
25	Q	Okay. If you go forward one page to page	11:55:19
		Pag	e 108

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 7 of 17

1	six of the PDF, the digits on the bottom are 15998.	11:55:28
2	Do you see that?	11:55:35
3	A Yes.	11:55:35
4	Q This appears to be a document provided by	11:55:35
5	ECRI; is that fair?	11:55:41
6	A That's what's listed is listed, yes.	11:55:46
7	Q And this ECRI is the benchmarking service	11:55:48
8	that we were discussing earlier today; is that right?	11:55:51
9	A Yes.	11:55:53
10	Q If you look at the next page of that	11:55:54
11	document, the section should begin "The Bottom Line."	11:55:59
12	Do you see that?	11:56:06
13	A Yes.	11:56:06
14	Q It reads (as read):	11:56:06
15	Pricing - your facility has been	11:56:09
16	quoted the highest discount we've seen for	11:56:11
17	similar purchases of the da Vinci Xi	11:56:13
18	single console robotic system from ISI.	11:56:16
19	Do you see that?	11:56:21
20	A Yes.	11:56:21
21	Q What does that mean?	11:56:22
22	A It means that ECRI has benchmarked and	11:56:36
23	given us a recommendation.	11:56:42
24	Q So ECRI is saying that the deal on the	11:56:43
25	table from Intuitive is the highest discount that they	11:56:47
	Page	e 109

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 8 of 17

1	have seen fo	or the Xi robot; is that right?	11:56:53
2	А	That's what's listed here, yes.	11:56:57
3	Q	Do you have any sense of of how Valley	11:56:59
4	managed to 1	negotiate the highest discount that ECRI h	ad 11:57:06
5	seen on an 2	Xi robot?	11:57:10
6	А	No.	11:57:12
7	Q	That section also reads (as read):	11:57:13
8		The quoted dV complete care service	11:57:25
9		contract pricing is consistent with that	11:57:29
10		which is typically reported for the	11:57:31
11		da Vinci Xi single.	11:57:32
12		Do you see that?	11:57:37
13	А	Yes.	11:57:37
14	Q	So here they're reporting that the quoted	11:57:38
15	price for se	ervice is consistent with what ECRI has se	en 11:57:39
16	in the offer	rs presented to other customers; is that	11:57:45
17	right?		11:57:51
18	А	Yes.	11:57:51
19	Q	And the next line reads (as read):	11:57:51
20		Negotiating for a 7.8 service	11:57:57
21		discount will potentially save your	11:58:00
22		facility \$48,000 across the four-year	11:58:02
23		term.	11:58:04
24		Do you see that?	11:58:04
25	А	Yes.	11:58:04
			Page 110

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 9 of 17

1	Q So does that mean that ECRI has seen other	11:58:05
2	customers negotiate discounts on the service fee?	11:58:08
3	A I read this as being 7.8 percent service	11:58:25
4	discount could save us \$48,000 in the four-year term.	11:58:29
5	Q Presumably they're recommending	11:58:36
6	negotiating for an additional discount on the service	11:58:38
7	charge because other facilities, other customers, have	11:58:42
8	done so? Is that fair?	11:58:45
9	A It doesn't say "recommendation," it says	11:58:47
10	negotiating for a service discount could save our	11:58:53
11	facility money over a defined period of time.	11:58:55
12	Q Okay. If you turn forward just one page,	11:58:59
13	the header of that page should read "Service Price	11:59:15
14	Analysis - Intuitive Surgical dV Complete Care."	11:59:18
15	Do you see that?	11:59:26
16	A Yes.	11:59:26
17	Q If you look at the text at the bottom of	11:59:26
18	that paragraph, it reads (as read):	11:59:28
19	However, some member facilities have	11:59:30
20	reported discounts up to 7.8 percent off	11:59:31
21	the purchase of this service, maybe	11:59:34
22	shooting for a 7.8 percent service	11:59:37
23	discount will potentially say \$48,000	11:59:39
24	across the four-year term.	11:59:42
25	Do you see that?	12:00:07
	Pag	e 111

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 10 of 17

1 THE REPORTER: Did	you answer? I'm sorry 12:00:07
2 if I missed it.	12:00:09
THE WITNESS: I sai	d yes. I'm sorry if 12:00:11
4 that wasn't	12:00:12
5 BY MR. LANNIN:	12:00:12
6 Q Oh, sorry, I didn't	I thought you were 12:00:12
7 reading, as well.	12:00:12
8 Okay. So fair f	air to assume this 12:00:13
9 document suggests or indicates	that ECRI strike 12:00:14
10 that. Let me start again.	12:00:17
11 This would suggest	that some of the other 12:00:17
hospitals that report data to E	CCRI had negotiated for a 12:00:21
discount on their service fee f	rom Intuitive? 12:00:26
MR. DOMINGUEZ: Obj	ection to form. Calls 12:00:30
15 for speculation. Lacks predica	12:00:33
16 THE WITNESS: I rea	d this as some 12:00:36
facilities have reported discou	ints of up to a certain 12:00:39
percentage, and that by us nego	tiating, we could 12:00:42
19 potentially save the money over	some of the contract. 12:00:46
20 BY MR. LANNIN:	12:00:52
Q Right.	12:00:53
This was the point	of ECRI, right, I mean 12:00:54
23 so you could know what other fa	cilities were hearing 12:00:56
from Intuitive and then bargain	using that information? 12:00:59
25 A ECRI is one tool am	nongst other tools that 12:01:04
	Page 112

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 11 of 17

1	we look at to provide context to our decisionmaking.	12:01:07
2	Q Did the fact that, according to ECRI,	12:01:15
3	Valley had negotiated the highest discount it had seen	12:01:18
4	on an Xi robot, give you comfort on proceeding with	12:01:20
5	this deal?	12:01:27
6	A I had confidence in pursuing this deal	12:01:27
7	after I had met with my team and with the supply chain	12:01:34
8	team.	12:01:39
9	Q I imagine they were pretty pleased with	12:01:39
10	themselves if this was if they had negotiated the	12:01:42
11	highest discount that ECRI had ever seen?	12:01:44
12	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Objection to form. Calls	12:01:47
13	for speculation. Lacks predicate.	12:01:49
14	THE WITNESS: We have a pretty great team	12:01:51
15	at Valley, so I trust the folks to do their jobs.	12:01:53
16	BY MR. LANNIN:	12:01:55
17	Q Okay. You can close that out.	12:02:12
18	We'll introduce tab four, Paul, which will	12:02:20
19	be Defendant's Exhibit 112.	12:02:25
20	A Okay, I've read it.	12:04:20
21	(Deposition Exhibit 112 was marked	12:04:23
22	for identification and is attached	12:04:23
23	hereto.)	12:04:23
24	BY MR. LANNIN:	12:04:23
25	Q First email on this chain is from you to	12:04:24
	Pag	re 113

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 12 of 17

1	Mr. Wagner, to the contract that was attached, so it	12:10:49
2	will be begin on page four of the PDF, it ends in	12:10:54
3	2062 I'm sorry, 20652 on the bottom right.	12:10:58
4	Do you see that?	12:11:05
5	A Yes.	12:11:05
6	Q This is the sales, license, and service	12:11:05
7	agreement for the purchase of the Xi robot; is that	12:11:09
8	right?	12:11:17
9	A Yes.	12:11:17
10	Q And, if you turn to page what is page	12:11:17
11	eight of the contract, ending in 20659, you should see	12:11:23
12	Jeannine Grinnell's signature.	12:11:41
13	Do you see that?	12:11:45
14	A Yes.	12:11:45
15	Q This is consistent with what you testified	12:11:45
16	earlier, that it was ultimately Ms. Grinnell's decision	12:11:49
17	to purchase the Xi robot?	12:11:52
18	A She is the final step in authorizing the	12:11:55
19	purchase.	12:11:59
20	Q If you look at two pages after that one,	12:12:02
21	ending in 20661, it's a continuation of what's labeled	12:12:10
22	Exhibit A.	12:12:15
23	Do you see that?	12:12:17
24	A Yes.	12:12:17
25	Q So "Pricing," it indicates the price for	12:12:18
	Page	e 118

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 13 of 17

1	the Xi robot was \$1.7 million.	12:12:25
2	Do you see that?	12:12:34
3	A Yes.	12:12:34
4	Q So, at this point, from the initial quote	12:12:34
5	that you had received earlier in 2018 to now, the price	12:12:41
6	had dropped by \$200,000; is that right?	12:12:45
7	A I believe so.	12:12:51
8	Q If you look at the "Annual Service Fees"	12:12:52
9	column of that table, it indicates the first year of	12:12:58
10	initial term was included in the system purchase price.	12:13:04
11	Do you see that?	12:13:17
12	A Yes.	12:13:17
13	Q And then, for subsequent years, two to	12:13:17
14	five, the price for the service plan was \$149,000 a	12:13:22
15	year.	12:13:26
16	Do you see that?	12:13:27
17	A Yes.	12:13:27
18	Q The the last quote we looked at, which	12:13:27
19	was Defendant's Exhibit 56, had indicated or quoted a	12:13:34
20	price of \$154,000 for service in years two through	12:13:39
21	five.	12:13:44
22	Do you have any recollection of how the	12:13:45
23	price was reduced another \$5,000 a year since that last	12:13:47
24	quote?	12:13:52
25	A I don't recall.	12:13:53
	Page	e 119

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 14 of 17

1	Q Okay. I'll represent to you we looked and	12:13:54
2	couldn't find a record of it, so I have no document to	12:13:58
3	show you.	12:14:01
4	And someone, presumably, at some point,	12:14:02
5	asked for an additional discount on the service fee?	12:14:04
6	A I I I don't know if that occurred or	12:14:07
7	not.	12:14:16
8	Q But we can agree that from the last quote	12:14:17
9	to this one, the price had dropped by \$5,000 a year for	12:14:21
10	service in those years?	12:14:27
11	A The price here listed is different than	12:14:30
12	the previous quote, yes.	12:14:35
13	Q Is it possible that the information ECRI	12:14:37
14	conveyed that we discussed earlier, that some	12:14:39
15	facilities had negotiated a discount in the service	12:14:45
16	fee, prompted Valley to ask for a discount on the	12:14:48
17	service fee?	12:14:50
18	A It's possible.	12:14:57
19	Q Do you remember one way or the other	12:14:59
20	whether that happened?	12:15:01
21	A I don't.	12:15:04
22	Q Okay. You can close that document.	12:15:04
23	But you said earlier, Mr. Wagner, you were	12:15:26
24	pleased with the job that your team did in procuring	12:15:29
25	this Xi robot in 2018?	12:15:32
	Page	e 120

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 15 of 17

1	I do want to point out what tripped me up	13:10:08
2	there was this valid until, it says November 30, 2018,	13:10:10
3	and the quote date says September 6, 2019.	13:10:14
4	Q I appreciate why you were tripped up, I	13:10:21
5	actually just noticed the same thing and glitched for a	13:10:23
6	moment, as well, but it is attached to an email	13:10:25
7	dated	13:10:27
8	A Yeah, I understand.	13:10:28
9	Q September 6th yeah.	13:10:29
10	Okay. So, on that quote, it also includes	13:10:33
11	a \$25,000 discount on the table upgrade.	13:10:36
12	Do you see that?	13:10:43
13	A Yes.	13:10:43
14	Q And the training that's listed under	13:10:43
15	"Customer Programs," again, the discount will result in	13:10:50
16	those being provided for free; is that right?	13:10:54
17	A Yes.	13:10:56
18	Q If you look on the top of that quote, the	13:10:59
19	first line item is a discount of \$250,000 for trading	13:11:03
20	in the Si.	13:11:11
21	Do you see that?	13:11:13
22	A Yes.	13:11:13
23	Q I think you said earlier in in the	13:11:13
24	morning that, to your knowledge, the Si was, in fact,	13:11:18
25	traded in as part of this transaction; is that right?	13:11:21
	Page	e 135

Case 3:21-cv-03825-AMO Document 260-12 Filed 05/17/24 Page 16 of 17

1	A For the second Xi robot.	13:11:27
2	Q Right, the S the Si was traded in as	13:11:29
3	part of the transaction for the second Xi robot; is	13:11:32
4	that right?	13:11:39
5	A Right.	13:11:39
6	Q This quote also has the line items for	13:11:39
7	service where the first year is free and years two	13:11:42
8	through five were quoted at \$154,000 a year.	13:11:48
9	Do you see that?	13:12:01
10	A Yes.	13:12:01
11	Q And then, under "Additional Information,"	13:12:01
12	it includes four attendees complimentary participants	13:12:02
13	to the dV 360 summit.	13:12:08
14	Do you see that?	13:12:15
15	A No, where is that located, I'm sorry?	13:12:15
16	Q In the box	13:12:24
17	A Yeah, I see it I see it, okay, I see it	13:12:26
18	under "Additional Information."	13:12:27
19	Q Yeah.	13:12:28
20	Do you know what the DV 360 summit is?	13:12:29
21	A I vaguely remember some conversations	13:12:35
22	about this, but I don't I don't recall any specific	13:12:39
23	details.	13:12:48
24	Q Do you remember strike that.	13:12:48
25	Do you know who would have bargained for	13:12:53
	Pag	re 136

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
2) ss.	
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)	
4	I, Vickie Blair, CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR, in	
5	and for the State of California, do hereby certify:	
6	That, prior to being examined, the witness	
7	named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn	
8	to testify as to the truth, the whole truth, and	
9	nothing but the truth;	
10	That said deposition was taken before me	
11	at the time and place therein set forth, and was taken	
12	down by me stenographically and thereafter transcribed	
13	via computer-aided transcription under my direction and	
14	is a true record of the testimony given;	
15	I further certify I am neither counsel	
16	for, nor related to, any party to said action, nor	
17	interested in the outcome thereof;	
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto	
19	subscribed my name this 20th day of October, 2022.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Victie talan	
25	Vickie Blair, CSR No. 8940, RPR-CRR	
	Page 150	